

**D10 Summary of Consultation  
with Federal Agencies  
During Phase I**

**Table D5  
Phase One Consultations with Federal Agencies**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Correspondence</b>	<b>Summary of Stated Issue(s)</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Date of Response</b>	<b>Relevant Sections of the ESR</b>
October 12, 2005	Various Representatives	DFO, MOE, MNR, and Bracebridge Generation	Meeting	Meeting to discuss the Bala North Dam Waterpower Proposal	No response required	N/A	N/A
August 23, 2007	Jim Chan, Senior Program Officer	CEAA	Written Correspondence	Acknowledged letter dated August 14, 2007. Advised that to determine involvement of CEA Agency, a Project Description would be required.	Project Description for the project was provided as required by CEAA.	November 12, 2007	N/A
August 28, 2007	Miranda Lesperance, Environment Officer	INAC – Ontario Region	Written Correspondence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acknowledged letter of August 14, 2007 (NOC)</li> <li>• Request that proponent make efforts to identify and notify all potentially interested First Nation communities</li> <li>• Provided relevant information sources</li> </ul>	Identified First Nations and contacted	N/A	First Nation Consultation – Section 3.7
September 18, 2007	Rick Thomas, Navigable Waters Protection Officer	Transport Canada	Written Correspondence	<p>Response to August 14, 2007 correspondence (NOC)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• North Bala dam has never received approval pursuant to the NWPA</li> <li>• Require approval under Section 6 (4) of the NWPA</li> <li>• Requested submission of six copies of the plans of the existing dam including details of any boom and signage at the site</li> <li>• When the plans of the conversion are finalized, submit six copies of the plans including details of any new booms and signs that are to be placed.</li> </ul>	<p>Letter referred to MNR. MNR contacted TC with the following result:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SREL would defer seeking NWPA approval for the north Bala dam and safety boom until final design.</li> </ul>	September 26, 2007	N/A

**Table D5  
Phase One Consultations with Federal Agencies**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Correspondence</b>	<b>Summary of Stated Issue(s)</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Date of Response</b>	<b>Relevant Sections of the ESR</b>
November 15, 2007	Various Representatives	MNR, Bracebridge, DFO, MOE, CEA Agency, TC (Marine)	All Agency Meeting	Kick-off meeting to discuss the Environmental Screening Process, operational considerations and consultation	No Response Required	N/A	N/A
November 19, 2007	Micheline Turpin, EA Information Manager EA-SPS	NRCan	Written Correspondence from NRCan to CEAA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On November 16, 2007 NRCan received a Project Description for the North Bala Hydro Project</li> <li>• A proponent's intent to apply to the ecoENERGY for Renewable Power Program is not sufficient for NRCan to declare itself as a Responsible Authority under CEAA</li> <li>• Only once and acceptance of the notice of Project Application for the project is received will NRCan be able to make a determination regarding its responsibility under Section 5 of the CEAA</li> <li>• In addition, the proponent should also complete the explosives questionnaire attached</li> </ul>	No Response Required	N/A	N/A
December 19, 2007	Jennifer McCarthy	DFO	Email from CEAA	<p>DFO requested additional information concerning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• downstream fish habitat</li> <li>• fish spawning areas</li> <li>• potential fisheries impacts</li> <li>• baseflow conditions during the operation of the hydrodam</li> </ul> <p>DFO is seeking additional information to determine whether a CEAA trigger exists</p>	Stated concerns are addressed within the ESR	N/A	Aquatic Habitat – Section 2.1.10; Effects to Aquatic Habitat – Section 5.2.8 and Section 6.2.5; Water Management Plan – Section 9