

**D22 Summary of Consultation
with Federal Agencies
During Phase II**

**Table D12
Phase Two Consultations with Federal Agencies**

Date	Name	Agency	Correspondence	Summary of Stated Issue(s)	Response	Date of Response	Relevant Sections of the ESR
January 12, 2009	Jim Chan – CEAA, Dilek Postacioglu – MOE (EAAB), Jennifer McCarthy - DFO	CEAA, DFO and MOE	Teleconference	<p>The following topics/issues were initiated by CEAA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Triggering the need for a federal EA – none of the federal agencies have confirmed a trigger. • Documentation of discussions with agencies such as Transport Canada within the ESR <p>DFO offered discussion of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walleye spawning downstream of the North Bala Dam • Adequacy of operation of the South Dam to assist spawning in exciting and proposing new areas • Pre-construction North and South Dam flows • The nature of the relationship between the North Dam Flows and velocities in downstream spawning beds. 	SREL has addressed these topics within the ESR	N/A	Aquatic Biota and Habitat: 5.2.7, 5.2.8 and 6.2.5; Section 3.4.3: Regulatory Agencies Consultation, Agency Consultation: 3.5.6.
February 19, 2009	Various Representatives	DFO, TC and MNR	Teleconference	<p>DFO had concerns regarding fish habitat and plant and dam operations</p> <p>TC stated that there are navigational issues within the north channel adjacent to existing</p>	SREL committed to operating the dams during the spawning period to enhance spawning conditions	March 12, 2009	Water Management Plan – Section 9

**Table D12
Phase Two Consultations with Federal Agencies**

Date	Name	Agency	Correspondence	Summary of Stated Issue(s)	Response	Date of Response	Relevant Sections of the ESR
				seasonal business infrastructure	TC would provide comments on the ESR		
March 12, 2009	Allan Robertson, Senior NWP Officer	TC	Written Correspondence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Navigation within an upstream safety zone • Existing issues with Purk's Place facility that would limit water access • Rescue procedures or considerations for persons or vessels caught in the intake • Discussion within the ESR regarding the placement of upstream and downstream safety booms 	TC comments incorporated in the ESR	N/A	Effect on Public Use and Access: 5.3.1 and 6.3.1; Public Safety in the Vicinity of the Project: 5.3.2, and 6.3.2.
Mar 19/09	Various representatives	CEAA, MOE, DFO, TC, MNR	Teleconference.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transport Canada and DFO feedback regarding fish habitat compensation. 	Mitigation incorporated into ESR	N/A	Aquatic Biota and Habitat: 5.2.7, 5.2.8 and 6.2.5.
Mar 27/09	Jennifer McCarthy, Derrick Moggy	DFO	Teleconference	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DFO concerns • Determination of HADD 	Mitigation incorporated into ESR	N/A	Aquatic Biota and Habitat: 5.2.7, 5.2.8 and 6.2.5.